## FREQUENCY WEIGHTING OF UNDERWATER SOUND

Working group Marine Mammals and Underwater Sound | Christ de Jong

innovation for life

## **OFFSHORE WIND LICENSING:** (KEC 2015)

### > NL Underwater sound expert group:

guideline for the assessment of the impact of pile driving sound on marine mammals, incorporating the (then) most recent results of scientific research

### Flexible limits for piling underwater sound depending on:

- number of turbines
- > time of the year



2 | frequency weighting of underwater sound

15 March 2015

innovation for life

### **PILING SOUND IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

- > Focus on harbour porpoise
- > Focus on avoidance behaviour:
  - > Threshold value: SEL<sub>SS</sub> = 140 dB re 1  $\mu$ Pa<sup>2</sup>s
    - > unweighted and measured in lower half of water depth
    - > derived from field studies and laboratory playback studies
  - Porpoises will avoid locations where this threshold is exceeded during one calendar day
- Injury and PTS to be avoided by deterring the porpoises from the close environment (~1 km) from the piling location

3 | frequency weighting of underwater sound



innovation

TNO innovation for life

### **AUDIO: GEMINI U8**









4 | frequency weighting of underwater sound

TNO innovation for life

### WOZEP RESEARCH QUESTIONS:

- 1. Is it correct to assume that harbour porpoises are more sensitive to disturbance due to underwater piling sound than seals?
- 2. Do we need to consider the sound frequency when determining the impact of piling sound on the disturbance threshold of marine mammals and will this change initial assumptions on thresholds?
- 3. Are the sound propagation predictions accurate enough to base the impact assessment for marine mammals on? If not how can they be improved to decrease the uncertainty in the predictions?

### **RECENT DEVELOPMENTS**

- Tougaard et al 2015 propose the use of 'audiogram weighting' and time weighting in sound exposure criteria for porpoises
- NMFS 2016 introduce marine mammal auditory weighting functions in technical guidance for assessing the effects (PTS) of underwater sound on the hearing of marine mammal species
- Seamarco 2011-2017 studies of TTS in porpoises from exposure to sonar signals, pile driving sound and airgun sounds confirm dependence of TTS-onset on exposure frequencies

#### Not so recent:

- Verboom & Kastelein, 2005: 'marine mammal discomfort thresholds'
- > Nedwell et al, 2006: 'dB<sub>ht</sub> as a measure of the behavioural and auditory effects'

6 | frequency weighting of underwater sound



innovation

Marine Mammal Hearing

#### Underwater Acoustic Thresholds for Onset of Permanent and Temporary Threshold Shifts



### SOUND LEVELS IN AIR: HUMAN HEARING

- IEC 61672 (2013) standard for sound level meters
  - L<sub>A,T</sub> or L<sub>eq</sub>: equivalent continuous sound level
- > Frequency weighting: 'A', 'C' and 'Z'
- > Time weighting: 'F' and 'S':
  - > 'Fast' time constant 0,125 s
  - Slow' time constant 1 s



7 | frequency weighting of underwater sound

### AUDIOGRAMS AND 'WEIGHTING FUNCTIONS' FOR PORPOISES AND SEALS



8 | frequency weighting of underwater sound

15 March 2015

o innovation for life





9frequency weighting of underwater sound

15 March 2015

o innovation for life



study	exposure	Unweighted SELcum		NFMS HF weighted SELcum	
Lucke et al, 2009	Single airgun shot	165 dB re 1 µPa²s		140 dB re 1 µPa²s	
Kastelein et al, 2015	2760 pile driving playback sounds	180 dB re 1 µPa²s		144 dB re 1 µPa²s	
Kastelein et al, 2017	10 double airgun shots	188 dB re 1 µPa²s		140 dB re 1 µPa²s	

- NMFS weighted SEL<sub>cum</sub> thresholds more consistent for different exposures
- NMFS weighted exposure frequencies more consistent with TTS frequencies
- > Supports NMFS weighting approach for TTS/PTS

for equency weighting of underwater sound



for life



Ifrequency weighting of underwater sound



### INTERMEDIATE CONCLUSIONS ON FREQUENCY WEIGHTING

- Auditory frequency weighting improves prediction of sound induced TTS and PTS in porpoises (and *tursiops*)
- Auditory frequency weighting seems to be promising for quantifying behavioural response, but requires more data to derive threshold values



12 | frequency weighting of underwater sound



### **AQUARIUS MODEL UPDATES**

- > 2016 VUM validation study:
  - > LF (< ~200-400 Hz) predicted SEL too low
  - > HF (> ~200-400 Hz) predicted SEL too high
- >
- > 2018 WOZEP model improvements:
  - > Point source  $\rightarrow$  Line source
  - Hammer model
  - Sediment and wind models
  - Mitigation measures





13 | frequency weighting of underwater sound

# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

FE

innovatio

Take a look: TIME.TNO.NL